# Lecture 26: Lazy evaluation and lambda calculus

- What lazy evaluation is
- Why it's useful
- Implementing lazy evaluation
- Lambda calculus

## What is lazy evaluation?

- A slightly different evaluation mechanism for functional programs that provide additional power.
- Used in popular functional language Haskell
- Basic idea: Do not evaluate expressions until it is really necessary to do so.

#### What is lazy evaluation?

• In OS<sub>subst</sub>, change application rule from:

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \operatorname{fun} x -> e \quad e_2 \Downarrow v \quad e[v/x] \Downarrow v'}{e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v'}$$

to:

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \operatorname{fun} x \to e \quad e[e_2/x] \Downarrow v}{e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v} =$$

What difference does it make?

## Lazy lists

- Laziness principle can apply to cons operation.
- Values = constants | fun x -> e | e1 :: e2

$$\frac{e \Downarrow e_1 :: e_2 \quad e_1 \Downarrow v}{hd \quad e \Downarrow v} \\
e_1 :: e_2 \quad \downarrow e_1 :: e_2 \quad e_1 \Downarrow v} \\
e \Downarrow e_1 :: e_2 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v} \\
tl \quad e \Downarrow v$$

 Could do the same for all data type, i.e. make all constructors lazy.

#### Using lazy lists

· Consider this OCaml definition:

What happens in OCaml? What would happen in lazy OCaml?

happen in lazy 
$$OCaml?$$
 $ids O \rightarrow O:: ids (O+1)$ 
 $tl (ids O) \rightarrow eval ids (O+1)$ 
 $tl (ids O) \rightarrow ids (O+1)$ 
 $tl (ids O) \rightarrow ids (O+1)$ 
 $ids (O+1)$ 

# "Generate and test" paradigm

- Many computations have the form "generate a list of candidates and choose the first successful one."
- Using lazy evaluation, can separate candidate generation from selection:
  - Generate list of candidates even if infinite
  - Search list for successful candidate
- With lazy evaluation, only candidates that are tested are ever generated.

# Example: square roots

- Newton-Raphson method: To find sqrt(x), generate sequence: <a<sub>i</sub>>, where a<sub>0</sub> is arbitrary, and a<sub>i+1</sub> = (a<sub>i</sub> +x/a<sub>i</sub>)/2. Then choose first a<sub>i</sub> s.t. |a<sub>i</sub>-a<sub>i-1</sub>|<ε.</li>
- let next x a = (a+x/a)/2
   let rec repeat f a = a :: repeat f (f a)
   let rec withineps (a1::a2::as) =
   if abs(a2-a1) < eps then a2
   else withinips eps (a2::as)
   let sqrt x eps = withineps eps (repeat (next x) (x/2))</li>

#### sameints

- sameints: (int list) list -> (int list) list -> bool
- OCaml:

```
sameints lis1 lis2 = match (lis1,lis2) with

([], []) -> true

| (_,[]) -> false

| ([],_) -> false

| ([]::xs,[]::ys) -> sameints xs ys

| ([]::xs,ys) -> sameints xs ys

| (_::xs,[]::ys) -> sameints xs ys

| (a::as,b::bs) -> (a=b) and sameints as bs;;
```

#### sameints

#### Lazy OCaml:

```
flatten lis = match lis with

[]-> []

|[]::lis' -> flatten lis'

|(a::as)::lis' -> a :: flatten (as::lis')

equal lis1 lis2 = match (lis1,lis2) with

([],[]) -> true

|(_,[]) -> false

|([],_) -> false

|(a::as, b::bs) -> (a=b) and equal as bs

sameints lis1 lis2 = equal (flatten lis1) (flatten lis2)
```

## Implementation of lazy eval.

- Use closure model, modified.
- Introduce new value, called a thunk:
   <a>de,η▷ like a closure, but e does not have to be an abstraction.</a>

$$\frac{\eta, e_1 \Downarrow \langle \operatorname{fun} x - \rangle e, \eta \rangle}{\eta, e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v'} = \frac{\eta[x \to \langle e_2, \eta \rangle], e \Downarrow v}{\eta, e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v'}$$

$$\frac{\eta, e \Downarrow v}{\eta', x \Downarrow v} \text{ if } \eta'(x) = \langle e, \eta \rangle$$

$$+ \operatorname{change} \eta'(x) \neq \vee$$

#### Lambda-calculus

- Historically, "fun x->e" was written "λx.e"
- Original "functional language" was proposed by Alonzo Church in 1941:
  - Exprs: var's, λx.e, e₁e₂
- Haskell: \x ->
- Operational semantics:
  - Values: (closed) abstractions
  - Computation rule: Apply β-reductions anywhere in expression; repeat until value is obtained, if ever. (β-reduction means replacing any subexpression of the form (λx.e)e' by e[e'/x].)
- Computation rule corresponds to lazy evaluation.

# Lambda-calculus (cont.)

- In a given expression, there may be many choices of which β-reductions to perform in which order. Some may never lead to a value, while others do, but:
- Theorem (Church-Rosser) For any expression e, if two sequences of βreductions lead to a value, then they lead to the same value.
- Theorem Lambda-calculus is a Turingcomplete language.